### Meeting

Regular meeting of the Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project Local Government Elected Roundtable (LGER). The LGER is an information sharing committee that provides a forum for Port Metro Vancouver and local elected officials to share information and discuss community interests, issues and benefits related to the proposed Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project.

### Chair

Duncan Wilson, Vice-President, Corporate Social Responsibility, Port Metro Vancouver

### Local Government Elected Roundtable Attendees

 Mayor Peter Fassbender, City of Langley  
 Mayor Jack Froese, Township of Langley  
 Mayor Lois Jackson, Corporation of Delta  
 Councillor Bruce Hayne, City of Surrey  
 Amarjeet Rattan, Director, Intergovernmental Relations & Protocol Unit, City of Richmond

### Absent

City of Vancouver

### Port Metro Vancouver Attendees and Other Staff

Allan Baydala, Chief Financial Officer  
 Cliff Stewart, Acting Vice-President, Infrastructure Delivery  
 Rhona Hunter, Acting Director, Infrastructure Development  
 Naomi Horsford, Municipal Executive Liaison  
 Carolyn Parenteau, Communications Advisor  
 Matt Skinner, Kirk & Co. Consulting, Meeting Recorder

### Agenda

1. Tour of Port Metro Vancouver Operations Centre  
2. Introductions  
   a. Review objectives for the meeting  
3. Proposed Roberts Bank Terminal 2 – Project Overview  
4. Project Definition Consultation Results  
5. Community Interests  
6. Local Government Elected Roundtable – Terms of Reference  
7. Upcoming Meeting and Next Steps

### Materials & Presentations

- ‘Port Metro Vancouver: Stories’ video  
- Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project – Overview Presentation
PORT METRO VANCOUVER
ROBERTS BANK TERMINAL 2 PROJECT
LOCAL GOVERNMENT ELECTED ROUNDTABLE
MEETING NOTES

THURSDAY, JUNE 6, 2013 – 1:00pm – 3:00pm
Port Metro Vancouver Boardroom

Meeting Summary

- Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project – Local Government Elected Roundtable – DRAFT Terms of Reference

Meeting Summary Key Themes

- D. Wilson provided a tour of the Port Metro Vancouver Operations Centre, as well as an overview of the purpose of the Local Government Elected Roundtable Meeting
- C. Stewart provided an overview of the proposed Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project. Questions and answers focused on the relationship between public and First Nations consultation.
- R Hunter provided an overview of the EA process and the role of the Project Description.
- D. Wilson opened the floor to discussion regarding potential community legacy benefits as part of the Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project.

Presentation and Discussion

1. Welcome and Operations Centre Tour
   D. Wilson welcomed participants to the elected roundtable meeting and led a tour of the Port Metro Vancouver Operations Centre, including an overview of the Container Truck Efficiency Pilot Program. Roundtable introductions followed.

2. Introductions
   D. Wilson thanked everyone for making the time to attend the meeting at Port Metro Vancouver, and reiterated Port Metro Vancouver’s desire to engage with municipal governments and obtain their input on port projects. Introductions were undertaken and an overview of the agenda was presented.

   D. Wilson highlighted the recent release of Port Metro Vancouver’s 2012 Sustainability Report at its Annual General Meeting on June 4, 2013, as well as its new community video.

3. Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project Overview
   C. Stewart provided an overview of the proposed Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project. He reviewed current growth in trade through the Pacific Gateway, the Deltaport Terminal, Road and Rail Improvement Project, related infrastructure projects, including the South Fraser Perimeter Road and Roberts Bank Rail Corridor Program, and the Roberts Bank Terminal 2 Project.
R. Hunter provided an overview of the environmental assessment process, including the submission of the Project Description. She noted that the Project Description is not a technical document that identifies impacts, but rather a general overview of the project that initiates the formal environmental assessment. R. Hunter also reviewed the public consultation and environmental assessment timeline, field studies, and permits that are anticipated to be required.

**Discussion**

- P. Fassbender asked what sort of coordination there was between regulator-led consultation and Port-led consultation.
  - R. Hunter responded that there has already been significant dialogue between Port Metro Vancouver and regulators regarding the project to ensure coordination.
  - C. Stewart explained how the submission of the Project Description has been planned for the fall so as to avoid a summertime comment period.
- L. Jackson asked whether the environmental studies that were completed as part of the Deltaport Third Berth Project would be considered as part of the baseline for cumulative effects, noting concern in the community around that part of the assessment.
  - R. Hunter responded that the baseline for the project looks at current conditions, but that historical information has been incorporated into the current study program.
  - C. Stewart provided an overview of cumulative impacts assessments as defined in legislation, which looks at existing conditions and all known projects. He noted that this contrasts against the commonly held view that cumulative impacts should consider all post-contact history.
- B. Hayne asked what sort of consultation was being undertaken for First Nations, and noted the potential synergies between First Nations and municipal consultation and engagement.
  - D. Wilson responded that as an agent of the Crown, Port Metro Vancouver has a duty to consult with First Nations, and as such, is undertaking a comprehensive consultation process with First Nations regarding the project. D. Wilson committed to review the interface between First Nations and public consultation.
- R. Hunter provided an overview of Port Metro Vancouver’s Habitat Banking Program, noting the advantages of creating habitat before any impacts have occurred. D. Wilson described some of the developments taking place as part of this work, adding that there are still some areas of the legislation which require additional clarity.

**Action Items**

- D. Wilson to clarify what mechanisms are in place to interface between First Nations and public consultation.
4. Project Definition Consultation Results

D. Wilson provided an overview of Port Metro Vancouver’s municipal engagement program, including annual presentations to councils, executive liaisons and technical liaison committees. He also noted that this existing engagement does not replace consultation required as part of the project environmental assessment. D. Wilson then provided a summary of consultation feedback regarding community legacy benefits that was received as part of Project Definition Consultation in Fall 2012.

Discussion

- L. Jackson noted that many municipalities view First Nations as local government, and asked whether they should be invited to join in the Local Government Elected Roundtable discussions, since this could be requested at some point in the future.
  - D. Wilson responded that Port Metro Vancouver has a separate and parallel consultation process with First Nations as part of the project, but would give this notion of a wider forum additional consideration.

5. Community Interests

D. Wilson provided context for Port Metro Vancouver’s desire to invest in community legacy benefits as part of the project, noting that there has been no formal determination of the type or the scope of these benefits. He outlined Port Metro Vancouver’s preference towards larger, more visible projects, and opened the floor to discussion from the attendees.

Discussion

- P. Fassbender noted that different kinds of investment would provide different types of benefits, some of which would likely cross municipal boundaries. He suggested that this is something that should perhaps be approached from a regional perspective, as regional buy-in would be a requirement of any community benefit.
- L. Jackson noted that the Port is often perceived as a regional entity that is present in many parts of Vancouver, and proposed as a benefit a ‘centre of excellence’ that could build on existing work concerning climate change or ocean habitat.
- P. Fassbender expressed support for Mayor Jackson’s suggestion, noting the potential regional benefits, both now and for future generations, especially children. He also suggested that future consultation provide specific proposals as opposed to general concepts.
- A. Rattan commented that it was too early to comment on legacy benefits, noting that transportation north of the George Massey Tunnel and impacts on the Agricultural Land Reserve presented significant concern to Richmond Council.
P. Fassbender commented that systems like the early warning system for trains that is being implemented in Langley may have benefits elsewhere as well.

D. Wilson noted that Port Metro Vancouver is still in the early stages of determining the best way to figure out which benefits should be considered.

J. Froese asked whether Port Metro Vancouver had any preference between different types of benefits such as parks or transportation, as well as location.

D. Wilson responded that Port Metro Vancouver does not have any pre-determined conclusion for these benefits, and simply has a desire that 1) whatever benefits are provided, that they be of value to the wider community, and 2) receive recognition for any investments that are made.

B. Hayne expressed support for community benefits being discussed as part of consultation. He commented that the Port creates negative impacts in terms of the environment and transportation system, and positive impacts in terms of the economy. B. Hayne noted the City of Surrey’s desire for additional road and rail separation projects, as well as dredging on the river. He expressed support of a positive environmental legacy that would benefit the entire region, as well as having a generational benefit in terms of sustainability.

D. Wilson noted Port Metro Vancouver’s community investment program, which redirects 1% of the Port’s profits back into the community. The three pillars of this program are the environment, the community and education, and this is consistent with the suggestions that are being discussed around the table.

L. Jackson identified Science North in Sudbury as a possible template for a regional facility that benefits the community.

D. Wilson thanked everyone for their contributions, and noted that there was still considerable work to be done on this topic.

6. Local Government Elected Roundtable – Terms of Reference

D. Wilson asked each meeting participant to review the provided Terms of Reference and provide any feedback they might have in the coming weeks. He also reiterated the port’s commitment to ensure that the Local Government Elected Roundtable is a valuable forum for all involved and invited feedback from participants.

Discussion

P. Fassbender commented that effective and complete reporting of these types of meetings can be difficult, and suggested that all meeting materials and succinct records of discussion could be made available on the web, so as to allow easy access by other municipal
councillors, staff and the public.
  o D. Wilson expressed agreement with this proposal, and committed to make meeting records available in some way.

- L. Jackson commented that the Corporation of Delta had used PlaceSpeak for consultation and engagement in the past, and noted the advantage of being able to tie feedback results to specific communities. She proposed that this tool might be used to solicit feedback regarding the community benefits each community preferred.
  o D. Wilson noted that Port Metro Vancouver currently uses PortTalk.ca to provide a forum for feedback regarding various projects.

- J. Froese commented that he found this roundtable format to be helpful and informative, noting that even meeting twice a year would be valuable, especially as more information becomes available.

- A. Rattan conveyed Mayor Brodie’s regrets at not being able to attend, and hoped that the next meeting could be scheduled to permit his attendance.

7. Upcoming Meetings & Next Steps

D. Wilson identified early October as the preferred timeframe for the next meeting, noting that the port was flexible in terms of scheduling and location.

Discussion

- L. Jackson invited the next roundtable meeting to be held in Delta.
  o C. Parenteau thanked L. Jackson, and noted that the Port is happy to rotate venues.

- P. Fassbender mentioned that he was until recently the Co-Chair of the Fraser Health Municipal Advisory Team. Noting that the Port Metro Vancouver Board is virtually invisible, he suggested that a more actively visible and engaged board might be positive for the Port, especially as it forms various partnerships. He then invited the Port Metro Vancouver Board to sit in on the Local Government Elected Roundtable meetings.
  o D. Wilson responded that the Port Metro Vancouver Board was a governance board, not a representative board, which means they do not typically engage in day-to-day affairs. He noted that he is aware that municipal governments see value in engaging the board, and that board members do attend the annual reception that Port Metro Vancouver hosts at the Union of British Columbia Municipalities Convention (UBCM) and that each mayor should attend. D. Wilson mentioned that it may be feasible to have one or two board members attend a future meeting of this group.
  o P. Fassbender acknowledged that each board operates differently, and reiterated the view that having the Port Metro Vancouver Board being seen as more engaged would
have benefits in the long term. He also mentioned that Port Metro Vancouver should make available bios of each board member at UBCM.

- D. Wilson committed to follow up with each Mayor’s office to arrange the next meeting.
- C. Stewart asked whether there were any events that might impact availability in the fall.
  - A. Rattan noted that UBCM is at the end of September, and so that week, as well as the following week should be avoided.
- D. Wilson thanked each attendee for taking the time to participate in the meeting, and expressed appreciation for all of their valuable input.

**Action Items:**

- D. Wilson to explore how to make meeting materials and records available to municipal staff and public.
- D. Wilson to follow up regarding the potential for Port Metro Vancouver Board engagement with the Elected Roundtable meetings
- C. Parenteau to follow up with each Mayor’s office to arrange the meeting details for the next meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Action Items from Meeting</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• D. Wilson to clarify what mechanisms are in place to interface between First Nation and public consultation.</td>
<td>D. Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• D. Wilson to explore how to make meeting materials and records available to municipal staff and public.</td>
<td>D. Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• D. Wilson to follow up regarding the potential for Port Metro Vancouver Board engagement with the Elected Roundtable meetings</td>
<td>D. Wilson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• C. Parenteau to follow up with each Mayor’s office to arrange the meeting details for the next meeting.</td>
<td>C. Parenteau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>